W. ROUTE 66 OPERATIONAL ASSESSMENT TRANSPORTATION GOALS & OBJECTIVES

The following four policy goal areas **Broad Principles, Operations, Elevating Bicycle and Pedestrian Infrastructure, and Costs** were derived from local, regional, and state plans, policies, and standards that were defined and approved by the community through previous planning efforts. Through the W. Route 66 Project Advisory Group (PAG), each goal, definition, objective, and criterion were developed to ensure consistency with jurisdictional policies and goals.

For the W. Route 66 Operational Assessment, the criteria will perform as proxies for many of the desired trends. Below is an example of these criteria and how they meet the policy-based goals and objectives. Additional criteria are added as the process advances to better inform decisions. Many measures will be relative or comparative between alternatives.

Policy Based Goal	Objective		Proxy Criteria					
Travel Safety	Reduce crashes	Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT): Reducing the VMT along the corridor means fewer cars are present. Thus, the roadway should mean less exposure to potential crashes for all modes.	Bike/Ped LOS: Bike/Ped LOS may increase, with sidewalks, bicycle facilities, and crossings. As LOS increases, Ped/Bike crashes are expected to decrease.	Speed: As vehicle speeds decrease, the survivability of crashes increases, especially as it relates to Bike/Ped.				

Policy-Based Goals and **Definitions** were derived from the review of local, regional, and state plans and their related policies. This ensures that goals align with the community's desires and needs. This also aligns future project selection with those goals already established to lead to timely implementation. For a full list of these plans see Appendix A.

Objectives were derived from local, regional, and state policies. However, objectives were customized to respond to the W. Route 66, but still reflect the nature and intent of the original policy objectives.

High, Medium, and Low-Criteria will be used as a funnel to help narrow down project selection to identify the best project that can respond to a range of potential future scenarios as defined in the Regional Plan Update.

Performance Trends and Targets are the expected results from policy, objectives, and criteria used.

1. **BROAD PRINCIPLES**: These include a general belief that our community supports ensuring the safety and comfort of all travelers regardless of travel mode; preserving our natural and cultural environment; enhancing our transportation systems to support development; and distributing investments equitably.

Policy Based Goals	Definitions	Objectives	High-Criteria	Medium - Criteria	Low- Criteria	Desired Trend	Performance Trends & Targets
	Provide operations that are safe, and accessible for all road users. Projects will	Reduce fatal, serious injuries, and total crash	VMT	VMT	VMT	<u>\</u>	Decrease VMT.
	encourage a safe, well-connected transportation network for people using	rates for vehicles, bicyclists, and pedestrians to meet	Bike/Ped LOS	Bike/Ped LOS	Bike/Ped LOS	~	Increase Bike/Ped LOS.
Travel Safety	all modes of transportation. This prioritizes the safe travel of people over the expeditious travel of motor vehicles.	City and Regional Vision Zero goals.		Vehicular Speed	Vehicular Speed	<u>\</u>	Decrease Speed.
	the expeditious traver of motor vermices.				Crash reduction factor	<u>~</u>	Increased crash reduction factors.
	Avoid, minimize, or mitigate impacts on our natural and cultural environment.	Lesson impacts on the surrounding natural and cultural environment.	Lane Miles	Lane Miles	Acres impacted	<u></u>	Minimize Acres.
					Wildlife		Corridors and habitat impact mitigated.
Environment					Clean Water Act (Section 404)	F	Acres Mitigated.
					Historical Archaeological /Cultural	Ŀ	Avoid resources.

	Ensure there are no disparities in the transportation network availability and quality for the most underserved and overburdened populations.	 Proactively create new connections and opportunities for transportation-disadvantaged communities. Identify infrastructure barriers that inhibit rider 	Transportation Infrastructure ¹ proximity to Title VI block groups Identified in ADOT VRU Study.	Transportatio n proximity to Title VI block groups and services.	Transportatio n proximity to Title VI block groups and services.	₩.	Increase transportation facilities (bike, pedestrian, transit routes, and bus stops) within ¼ mile of transportation-disadvantaged communities and essential goods and services within the study area.
Equity		access to Mountain Line services. Coordinate with municipalities to address barriers.		Transportatio n Proximity to Affordable Housing	Transportatio n proximity to Affordable Housing NOTE: Will disaggregate Title 6 classes. Vehicle Access Age (Elderly 65+) Disability Status Race/Ethnicity National Origin Poverty Status	<u>~</u>	Increase access to all facilities (bike, pedestrian, transit routes, and bus stops) within a ½ mile of legally binding affordable housing units. Local knowledge: Locally acknowledged low-income neighborhoods and committed affordable housing units will be included in the Low Criteria.
Enhance development through transportation investments.	Consider how design and access decisions impact and encourage the development of (policy-supported and/or directed) employment, housing, and freight movements throughout the corridor.	Provide infrastructure to support economic development along the corridor.	Infrastructure improvements adjacent and proximal to vacant parcels	Infrastructure improvements adjacent and proximal to vacant parcels		<u>~</u>	Increase improvements by mode adjacent to or within ¼ mile of a vacant parcel abutting W Route 66 or abutting a parcel on W Route 66. (25% score increase for each mode built above the minimum ADOT standard).

¹ Transportation is defined as safe and comfortable routes for walking, bicycling, and accessing transit services to connect to services and goods.

	Contribute to a healthy climate, public	Reduce VMT	VMT	VMT			Reduced VMT.
	health, and quality of life by encouraging	Increase the percentage					
	shorter trips and improving residents'	of trips made using					
	ability to meet needs without a private	active and low-carbon			Faring		Park attacks Taxas (Carlos
Reduce GHG	vehicle, reducing pollution and	transportation modes			Emissions		Reduction in Tons of Carbon.
Emissions	greenhouse gas emissions while	while reducing vehicle				_	
	increasing the use of public	miles traveled within our					
	transportation and active transportation.	region					
	Note: This does not include electric						
	vehicle charging and vehicle use. This is						
	not part of the operational assessment						
	scope.						

2. **OPERATIONS:** This relates to creating a transportation system that balances modes for all users – pedestrians, bicyclists, drivers, freight, and transit, and manages accessibility and mobility through safe movements of people and vehicles.

Policy Area Goals	Description	Objectives	High-Criteria	Medium - Criteria	Low- Criteria	Desired Trend	Performance Trends & Targets
	Provide operations that are safe, and accessible for all road users. Projects will	Reduce fatal, serious injuries, and total crash rates for	VMT	VMT	VMT	<u>~</u>	Decrease VMT.
Turnilo for	encourage a safe, well-connected transportation network for people using all modes of transportation. This	vehicles, bicyclists, and pedestrians to meet City and Regional Vision Zero goals.	Bike/Ped LOS	Bike/Ped LOS	Bike/Ped LOS	<u>~</u>	Increase Bike/Ped LOS .
Travel Safety	prioritizes the safe travel of people over the expeditious travel of motor vehicles.			Vehicular Speed	Vehicular Speed	<u>~</u>	Decrease Speed.
					Crash reduction factor	~	Increased crash reduction factors.
	Manage mobility to/from and through the study area.	Manage recurrent congestion/hours of peak hour delay that meet ADOT LOS	Corridor Miles LOS ²	Corridor Miles LOS	Corridor Miles LOS	<u></u>	Maintain or improve corridor LOS.
		Standards (D or better)	TAZ Frontage ³		Intersection LOS	<u></u>	Maintain or improve intersection LOS.
Manage Mobility					Bicycle travel time	<u></u>	Maintain or improve Bicycle LOS.
					Transit ridership (External)	~	Increase Transit Ridership.

 $^{^2}$ Vehicular level of service (LOS) 3 Transportation Analysis Zone (TAZ) frontage is used to calculate LOS for all other modes.

					Transit travel time	<u></u>	Maintain or improve transit LOS.
	Manage accessibility to destinations in the corridor.	Provide network support and connections for transit, FUTS, and active transportation modes, to easily connect and	Ped. LOS (TAZ)	Ped. LOS (TAZ)	Intersection density - all modes	~	Improve side street intersections/network connectivity.
		access local services.	Bike LOS (TAZ)	Bike LOS (TAZ)	Miles of Transit service	~	Increase LOS for transit, pedestrians, and bicyclists.
Manage			Transit LOS (TAZ)	Transit LOS (TAZ)	Transit ridership (Internal)	~	
Accessibility				Cyclist Routing Algorithm for Network	Ped. LOS (TAZ) Bike LOS (TAZ)	l. a	Increase non-auto mode share.
				Connectivity (CRANC)	Access to services	<u>~</u>	
					# of transit stops		

3. **ELEVATING BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN INFRASTRUCTURE:** Ensuring our most vulnerable road users are offered safe and convenient facilities through complete streets, improved transit access, and continuous infrastructure.

Policy Area Goals	Description	Objectives	High-Criteria	Medium - Criteria	Low- Criteria	Desired Trend	Performance Trends & Targets
	Provide operations that are safe, and accessible for all road users. Projects will	Reduce fatal, serious injuries, and total crash rates for vehicles,	VMT	VMT	VMT	<u>~</u>	Decrease VMT.
	encourage a safe, well-connected transportation network for people using all modes of transportation. This prioritizes the	bicyclists, and pedestrians to meet City and Regional Vision Zero goals.	Bike/Ped LOS	Bike/Ped LOS	Bike/Ped LOS	<u>~</u>	Increase Bike/Ped LOS.
Travel Safety	safe travel of people over the expeditious travel of motor vehicles.			Vehicular Speed	Vehicular Speed	<u>\</u>	Decrease Speed.
					Crash reduction factor	<u>~</u>	Increased crash reduction factors.
	To provide low-stress facilities to create a comfortable and convenient environment for pedestrians and bicyclists that encourages their use.	Enhance accessibility and connectivity and continue to improve mobility through transit.	Bicycle Comfort Index	Bicycle Comfort Index	Bicycle Comfort Index	<u>~</u>	Increase the Bicycle Comfort Index.
Travel Comfort		Improve connections between transit and commuter destinations.	Ped. Environment Factor	Ped. Environment Factor	Ped. Environment Factor	<u>~</u>	Increase the Pedestrian Comfort Index.
	Note: For the purposes of this study, it is assumed that automobile usage is comfortable along the corridor.	 Improved Transit Service accessibility and convenience for corridor residents and businesses. 			Transit stops standard and frequency	~	Increase the availability of connections between modes, and the convenience of multiple transportation choices. This increases Transit,

							Cycling, and Walking Mode Shares.
	Plan, design, build, and maintain streets that enable safe, comfortable, and effective access for all people – motorists, transit riders, bicycles, and pedestrians, of all ages and abilities.	•	Identify infrastructure barriers that inhibit rider access to Mountain Line services. Coordinate with municipalities to address barriers.	Transit LOS (TAZ)	Frequency, routes, stops	<u>~</u>	Increase the number of complete street features on the primary bike/pedestrian network.
Complete Streets/Balance Modes		•	Improve the roadway to be comfortable and convenient for all modes.	Ped. LOS (TAZ)	Intersection density (Internal) Intersections	<u>\</u>	Decrease conflict areas/zones between vehicles and non-motorized modes.
		•	Use design concepts that help to control the speeds of vehicles.	(TAZ)	(External) Crossing Frequency Bicycle Comfort Index	<u>~</u>	Increase design elements to manage driver behaviors (speeding, not yielding, etc.)

4. **COST**: The project(s) that will be identified and prioritized through the operational assessment will be funded through Proposition 419 tax funding. Therefore, the ability to leverage these funds is important to overall project costs and implantability.

Policy Area Goals	Description	Objectives	High-Criteria	Medium - Criteria	Low- Criteria	Desired Trend	Performance Trends & Targets
	Leverage funding for transportation projects. Reduce project costs, accelerate project completion, eliminate delays in project development, and reduce regulatory burdens.	 Make the best use of public financial resources in a timely manner. Decrease the funding gap 	Compliance with 419 Funding Expectations	Compliance with 419 Funding Expectations	Compliance with 419 Funding Expectations	<u></u>	Alignment with 419 requirements.
Funding & Cost Effectiveness		needed to achieve project goals and currently available 419 funding. • Ensure the project meets local, state, and federal standards for future	Lane Miles	Legally binding developer investments Lane Miles, Acres		<u>~</u>	Number of partnership opportunities. Prospective P2P ranking.
		implementation.			Planning level cost estimate	<u></u>	Relative cost.
						<u>~</u>	Grant competitiveness (MetroPlan Strategic Grant Process).
Enhance development through transportation investments	Consider how design and access decisions impact and encourage the development of (policy-supported and/or directed) employment, housing, and freight movements throughout the corridor.	Provide infrastructure to support economic development along the corridor.	Infrastructure improvements adjacent and proximal to vacant parcels	Infrastructure improvements adjacent and proximal to vacant parcels		<u>~</u>	Increase improvements by mode adjacent to or within ¼ mile of a vacant parcel abutting W Route 66 or abutting a parcel on W Route 66.

			(25% score increase for each
			mode built above the
			minimum ADOT standard).

Assumptions

The following assumptions are not identified specifically in the above goals and criteria; however, it is assumed that these elements are either intertwined with the above or will be considered in future engineering and design of the selected project.

- Improve ADA-accessible connections within the corridor as well as to key destinations outside of the corridor.
- Projects within the corridor will consider and respond to freight usage to support the economic vitality of the corridor.
- Access Management With no controlling documents from the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) or the City of Flagstaff, access management will be considered as the operational assessment nears closer to project selection and conceptual design.

ALIGNMENT WITH FEDERAL PLANNING REQUIREMENTS

Several laws, regulations, and other federal documents affect the development of the Operational Assessment by specifying methods to be considered in the planning process or to be contained in the plan. These include Bipartisan Infrastructure Law (BIL), existing and proposed metropolitan planning regulations, management and monitoring system regulations, Executive Order 12898 on Environmental Justice, the Americans with Disabilities Act, Executive Order 13985 on Advancing Racial Equity and Support for Underserved Communities, and a variety of others.

BIPARTISAN INFRASTRUCTURE LAW (BIL) PLANNING FACTORS	Travel Safety	Environment	Equity	Encourage/enhance development	Reduce GHG Emissions	Manage Mobility	Manage Accessibility	Travel Comfort	Complete Streets/ Balance Modes	Funding & Cost- effective ness
<u>Transportation:</u> The BIL includes programs to reduce emissions and build resilience in the transportation sector. It also integrates climate change into existing program goals.		x			X					
Accessibility and mobility: The BIL aims to increase accessibility and mobility for people and freight.						X	X			
Roads, Bridges, & Major Projects: The BIL aims to repair our roads and bridges and support transformational projects that will create good-paying union jobs, increase regional and national economic opportunities, and make our transportation system safer and more resilient.	x	х		х						
<u>Public Transportation</u> : The BIL supports expanded public transportation choices nationwide, replacing thousands of deficient transit vehicles, including buses, with clean, zero-emission vehicles, and improving accessibility for the elderly and people with disabilities.			x				x			

<u>Safety:</u> The BIL improves the safety of the transportation system by helping States and territories support a broad array of traffic safety priorities, including the safety of drivers and vulnerable road users, safety at railroad crossings, and replacement or repair of obsolete natural gas pipelines.	x						x	
<u>Climate Change:</u> The BIL includes programs and investments to help protect communities against the impacts of climate changes such as droughts, heat, floods, wildfires, and other threats.		x			x			
Equity: The BIL investments will connect historically disadvantaged and underserved communities to jobs and economic opportunities, support climate justice by improving air quality and tackling climate change and create good-paying jobs.		х	х		x			
<u>Freight</u> : The BIL aims to improve the National Highway Freight Network by improving performance, ensuring the condition of the network, improving connectivity, and relieving freight bottlenecks	V			not on the National ments will be conside	, ,		ver, Freig	ht

BIPARTISAN INFRASTRUCTURE LAW (BIL) GOALS	Travel Safety	Environment	Equity	Encourage/enhance development	Reduce GHG Emissions	Manage Mobility	Manage Accessibility	Travel Comfort	Complete Streets/ Balance Modes	Funding & Cost- effective ness
Improving the condition, resilience, and safety of road and bridge assets consistent with asset management plans (including investing in preservation of those assets) [23 U.S.C 119];	X	x								
Promoting and improving safety for all road users, particularly vulnerable users, and supporting major actions and goals consistent with the U.S. Department of Transportation's January 2022 National Roadway Safety Strategy for safer people, safer roads, safer vehicles, safer speeds, and enhanced post-crash care [23 U.S.C. 148];	X		X					х		
Supporting accelerated project delivery and an efficient environmental review process through the One Federal Decision framework and by continuing to coordinate with other Federal partners to ensure that the benefits of projects are realized as soon as possible [23 USC 139];	This would only apply if a project were federalized.									x
Making streets and other transportation facilities accessible to all users and compliant with the Americans with Disabilities Act [49 CFR 37]	X		X				X	х		
Addressing environmental impacts ranging from stormwater runoff to greenhouse gas emissions [23 U.S.C. 175, 23 USC 176];		X			X					
Prioritizing infrastructure that is less vulnerable and more resilient to a changing climate [23 USC 101, 23 USC 119, 23 USC 176, 23 USC 520];		X								

Future-proofing our transportation infrastructure by accommodating new and emerging technologies like electric vehicle charging stations, renewable energy generation, and broadband deployment in transportation rights-of-way [sec. 11401 of BIL, 23 CFR 645]	May be incorporated in future project design phase and construction. Is not relevant to the W. Route 66 Operational Assessment.								
Reconnecting communities and reflecting the inclusion of disadvantaged and under-represented groups in the planning, project selection, and design process [sec. 11509 of BIL]		х							

The BIL contains many environmental, infrastructure, modal, safety, and other transportation-related provisions. The four policy-based goals developed as part of the Operational Assessment (OA) aligned with national goals and planning factors.

The West Route 66 OA is based on a set of goals intended to implement the identified project(s) and support the transportation needs and community values while aligning with national goals and federal planning factor